

**Universität
Stuttgart**

**Fachbereich
Mathematik**

**A non-classical unital of order four
with many translations**

Theo Grundhöfer, Markus J. Stroppel, Hendrik Van Maldeghem

Preprint 2015/017

**Universität
Stuttgart**

**Fachbereich
Mathematik**

**A non-classical unital of order four
with many translations**

Theo Grundhöfer, Markus J. Stroppel, Hendrik Van Maldeghem

Preprint 2015/017

Fachbereich Mathematik
Fakultät Mathematik und Physik
Universität Stuttgart
Pfaffenwaldring 57
D-70 569 Stuttgart

E-Mail: `preprints@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de`
WWW: `http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints`

ISSN **1613-8309**

© Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Nachdruck nur mit Genehmigung des Autors.
L^AT_EX-Style: Winfried Geis, Thomas Merkle

A non-classical unital of order four with many translations

Theo Grundhöfer, Markus J. Stroppel, Hendrik Van Maldeghem

Abstract

We give a general construction for unitals of order q admitting an action of $SU(2, q)$. The construction covers the classical hermitian unitals, Grüning's unitals in Hall planes and at least one unital of order four where the translation centers fill precisely one block. For the latter unital, we determine the full group of automorphisms and show that there are no group-preserving embeddings into (dual) translation planes of order 16.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 51A10 51E21 05E20

Keywords: Design, unital, automorphism, translation, hermitian unital

Introduction

Let $\mathbb{U} = (U, \mathcal{B})$ be a unital of order q , and let $\Gamma := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{U})$. For each point $c \in U$ we consider the group $\Gamma_{[c]}$ of translations with center c , i.e., the set of all automorphisms of \mathbb{U} fixing each block through c . We say that c is a translation center of \mathbb{U} if $\Gamma_{[c]}$ is transitive on the set of points different from c on any block through c .

The main result of [9] states that the unital \mathbb{U} is classical (i.e., isomorphic to the hermitian unital corresponding to the field extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q$) if it has non-collinear translation centers. Unitals with precisely one translation center seem to exist in abundance (we indicate several quite different classes of examples in Section 5 below). If there are two translation centers c and c' then the orbit of c' under $\Gamma_{[c]}$ fills the complement of c in the block joining c with c' . We give an example of a unital (of order 4, see Section 1 below) where the translation centers fill just one block. As far as we know, this unital is the first (and up to now, the only) one with that property.

1 A curious unital of order four

Let $\text{Syl}_2(A_5)$ be the set of all Sylow 2-subgroups in the alternating group A_5 , and let $S := \langle (01234) \rangle$. We consider the subsets $E_1 := \{\text{id}, (023), (024), (123), (03421)\}$ and $E_2 := E_1^{(1243)} = \{\text{id}, (041), (043), (124), (01342)\}$. For later reference, we abbreviate $\mathcal{E} := \{E_1, E_2\}$.

We construct an incidence structure $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ with two kinds of points: elements of A_5 and elements of $\text{Syl}_2(A_5)$. The blocks are the following:

- cosets Tg for $T \in \text{Syl}_2(A_5)$, $g \in A_5$,
- cosets Sg for $g \in A_5$,
- sets $E_j g$ for $g \in A_5$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$,
- a single block named $[\infty]$.

Note that the elements of $\text{Syl}_2(A_5)$ are used as (labels for) points and also as certain blocks. Incidence between points in A_5 and cosets is the obvious one. A point $T \in \text{Syl}_2(A_5)$ is incident with $[\infty]$, with each *left* coset gT ($= T^g g$), and with no other block.

In the present paper, we show that the incidence geometry $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$ is a non-classical unital of order 4, with the following properties: The action of A_5 by multiplication from the right on itself and on the blocks apart from $[\infty]$ is an action by automorphisms of $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$. Each $T \in \text{Syl}_2(A_5)$ acts by translations with center T . There are no other translations of $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$. The full automorphism group of $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product of A_5 with a cyclic group of order four, where a generator of that cyclic group induces conjugation by (1243) on A_5 .

2 A general construction

Motivated by the action (see 3.1 below) of $\text{SU}(2, q)$ on the classical (hermitian) unital of order q , we study geometries as follows.

2.1 Lemma. *Let G be a group, let T be a subgroup such that conjugates T^g and T^h have trivial intersection unless they coincide (i.e., the conjugacy class T^G forms a T.I. set). Assume that there is a subgroup S and a collection \mathcal{D} of subsets of G such that each set $D \in \mathcal{D}$ contains 1, and the following hold:*

- (Q) *For each $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the map $(D \times D) \setminus \{(x, x) \mid x \in D\} \rightarrow G: (x, y) \mapsto xy^{-1}$ is injective. We abbreviate $D^* := \{xy^{-1} \mid x, y \in D, x \neq y\}$.*
- (P) *The system consisting of $S \setminus \{1\}$, all conjugates of $T \setminus \{1\}$ and all sets D^* with $D \in \mathcal{D}$ forms a partition of $G \setminus \{1\}$.*

Then the incidence structure with point set G and block set

$$\mathcal{B}^\infty := \{Sg \mid g \in G\} \cup \{T^h g \mid h, g \in G\} \cup \{Dg \mid D \in \mathcal{D}, g \in G\}$$

is a linear space. Each involution of G is contained in $S \cup \bigcup_{g \in G} T^g$.

We consider each conjugate T^h as a point at infinity, call $[\infty] := \{T^h \mid h \in G\}$ the block at infinity (incident with each point at infinity, and no point in G), and extend the incidence relation in two different ways:

- (a) *Make each conjugate T^h incident with each coset $T^h g^{-1} g = gT^h$ (and no other block in \mathcal{B}^∞). This gives an incidence structure $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{D} := (G \cup \{T^h \mid h \in G\}, \mathcal{B}^\infty \cup \{[\infty]\}, I)$.*
- (b) *Make each conjugate T^h incident with each coset $T^h g$ (and no other block in \mathcal{B}^∞). This gives an incidence structure $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{D}^b := (G \cup \{T^h \mid h \in G\}, \mathcal{B}^\infty \cup \{[\infty]\}, I^b)$.*

Then both $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{D}^b$ are linear spaces, and the following hold.

1. *Via multiplication from the right on G and conjugation on the point row of $[\infty]$, the group G acts as a group of automorphisms on $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{D}$.*
2. *On $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{D}^b$ the group G also acts by automorphisms via multiplication from the right on G but trivially on the point row of $[\infty]$.*

Now let G be finite, and abbreviate $q := |T|$. Assume that $|G| = q^3 - q$, that there are $q + 1$ conjugates of T , and that $|S| = q + 1 = |D|$ holds for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Note that we have $|\mathcal{D}| = q - 2$ in that case.

3. Both $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ are $2 - (q^3 + 1, q + 1, 1)$ designs; i.e., unitals of order q .
4. On the unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ each conjugate of T acts as a group of translations. Thus each point on the block $[\infty]$ is a translation center, and G is two-transitive on $[\infty]$.
5. On the unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ the group G contains no translation except the trivial one.

Proof. Assume first that some involution $s \in G$ lies outside $S \cup \bigcup_{g \in G} T^g$. Then the assumptions yield that s is of the form $s = xy^{-1}$ with $x, y \in D$ for some $D \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $xy^{-1} = s = s^{-1} = yx^{-1}$ contradicts assumption (Q).

We consider blocks through 1 first. Cosets like $T^h g$ or Sg contain 1 if, and only if, they coincide with the subgroups T^h and S , respectively. The situation is different for Dg with $D \in \mathcal{D}$: here $1 \in Dg \iff g^{-1} \in D$. Thus Dg passes through 1 precisely if $Dg \subseteq D^* \cup \{1\}$. Now the partition required in condition (P) secures that each element in $G \setminus \{1\}$ is joined to 1 by a unique block in \mathcal{B}^∞ . As G forms a transitive group of automorphisms of (G, \mathcal{B}^∞) , that structure is a linear space.

The conditions imposed on the orders of G , T , S , and $|D|$ make it immediate that both $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ are $2 - (q^3 + 1, q + 1, 1)$ designs.

Each orbit of each conjugate of T is contained in a block, but these blocks are assigned points at infinity in different ways in the two incidence structures $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$. For $(g, T^h) \in G \times [\infty]$ the unique joining block in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is $T^{hg^{-1}}g$. Thus $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a linear space. In $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ the unique joining block for (g, T^h) is $T^h g$, and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ is a linear space, as well.

We note that the subgroup $T^h \leq G$ fixes each block through the point T^h in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ because $T^{hg^{-1}}g = gT^h$. Thus T^h is a group of translations with center T^h on $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$. As T^h acts transitively on the set of points on the block T^h that are different from T^h (considered as a point), the point T^h is a translation center.

In $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ the group T fixes $[\infty]$ and precisely $|N_G(T)/T|$ blocks through each point $T^h \in [\infty]$, namely the blocks of the form $T^h g = gT^{hg}$ with $hg \in N_G(T)$. As every element of G fixes each point at infinity, the group G contains no translations of the unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ apart from the trivial one. \square

2.2 Remarks. It may come as a surprise that the incidence relation for points at infinity is not determined by the affine part of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ (i.e., the linear space (G, \mathcal{B}^∞) together with the action of G on that geometry). We have at least one other possibility, namely the unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{D}}^b$ where G acts trivially on $[\infty]$, and there are no translations of the unital in G . Grüning's unital (see 5.5 below) is obtained by such a completion of (G, \mathcal{B}^∞) .

3 Examples

3.1 Classical unitals. Let C be a field with an involutory automorphism $\kappa: x \mapsto \bar{x}$. On C^2 we consider the affine lines, the hermitian form $(x, y) \mapsto x\bar{x} - y\bar{y}$ and the subset $A := \{(x, y) \mid x\bar{x} - y\bar{y} = 1\}$. The special unitary group with respect to the given form is

$$\mathrm{SU}(2, C) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \bar{y} & \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y \in C, x\bar{x} - y\bar{y} = 1 \right\}.$$

It is known that $SU(2, C) \cong SL(2, R)$, where $R = \text{Fix}(\kappa)$. Note that $SU(2, C)$ acts regularly on A ; we identify $(x, y) \in A$ with $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \bar{y} & \bar{x} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2, C)$. We may even extend this to an identification of $C^2 \setminus \{(x, y) \mid x\bar{x} = y\bar{y}\}$ with a subgroup of the group of similitudes of the hermitian form.

We describe the interesting intersections of A with lines through $(1, 0)$; in particular, all the blocks through $(1, 0)$:

1. The line $(1, 0) + C(0, 1)$ is a tangent to the unital, it meets the unital only in $(1, 0)$.
2. The (stabilizer of the) block induced by the line $(1, 0) + C(1, 0)$ is the subgroup $S = \{(x, 0) \mid x\bar{x} = 1\}$ of $SU(2, C)$.
3. The (stabilizer of the) block induced by the line $(1, 0) + C(1, 1)$ is the subgroup $T_1 := \{(1 + x, x) \mid x + \bar{x} = 0\}$. For each $s \in \{c \in C \mid c\bar{c} = 1\}$ the line $(1, 0) + C(1, s)$ induces the block $T_s = \{(1 + x, xs) \mid x + \bar{x} = 0\}$ which is a conjugate of T_1 ; in fact, we have $(u^{-1}, 0)T_1(u, 0) = T_{\bar{u}/u}$.
4. For $t \in \{c \in C \mid c\bar{c} \notin \{0, 1\}\}$, the block induced by the line $(1, 0) + C(1, t)$ is

$$H_t := \{(1 + x, xt) \mid x + \bar{x} = (t\bar{t} - 1)x\bar{x}\}.$$

These subsets of $SU(2, C)$ are not subgroups; the corresponding stabilizers are trivial.

We note that $\widehat{H}_t := \{ab^{-1} \mid a, b \in H_t\}$ equals the union $\bigcup_{s \in C: s\bar{s}=1} H_{st}$ of the orbit of H_t under conjugation by S . Mapping (x, y) to xy^{-1} gives a bijection from $(H_t \setminus \{(1, 0)\})^2$ onto \widehat{H}_t .

If C is the finite field of order $q^2 = p^{2n}$ with $p = \text{char } C$ then T_s is a Sylow p -subgroup of $SU(2, C) = SU(2, q)$, the group S is cyclic of order $q + 1$, and there are $q - 2$ orbits of blocks of type H_t under conjugation by S . We choose an arbitrary set $\mathcal{H}_q = \{H_1, \dots, H_{q-2}\}$ of representatives for these orbits. Then $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}$ is the *classical* (hermitian) unital.

Grünig's unitals (embedded in Hall planes and their duals, see [10] and 5.5 below) are obtained as $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^b$. For $q > 2$ the unitals $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^b$ are not isomorphic because $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^b$ contains O'Nan configurations (see [10, 5.4]).

3.2 Example. There is only one isomorphism type of unitals of order 2 because such a unital is actually an affine plane of order 3. Our construction yields that unital from the group $S_3 \cong SL(2, 2)$, its Sylow 2-subgroups, and $S = \langle (012) \rangle$; the collection \mathcal{H}_2 is empty. The two possibilities for incidences on $[\infty]$ lead to isomorphic unitals $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_2} \cong \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_2}^b$ but different actions of $SL(2, 2)$.

Unitals of order 3 exist in abundance, see [4]. However, there is only one candidate for D^* , and essentially only one choice for D :

3.3 Theorem. Assume $q = 3$ and $G = SL(2, 3) \cong SU(2, 3)$, and let \mathcal{D} be a collection as in 2.1. Then S is cyclic of order 4, and \mathcal{D} consists of just one set D . Moreover, the pair (S, D) is unique, up to conjugation in $SL(2, 3)$. Thus we obtain two unitals, namely $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_3}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_3}^b$.

Proof. Clearly we have $|\mathcal{D}| = q - 2 = 1$. We consider the elements $\delta := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of order 3, the element $\varphi_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of order 4, and the conjugates $\varphi_j := \delta^{-j}\varphi_0\delta^j$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. The group

$\text{SL}(2, 3)$ contains the elements

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{id (of order 1), } -\text{id (of order 2),} \\ &\delta, \delta^2, \varphi_j \delta = \delta \varphi_{j+1}, -\varphi_j \delta^2 = -\delta^2 \varphi_{j-1} \text{ (of order 3),} \\ &\pm \varphi_j \text{ (of order 4), and} \\ &-\delta, -\delta^2, -\varphi_j \delta = -\delta \varphi_{j+1}, \varphi_j \delta^2 = \delta^2 \varphi_{j-1} \text{ (of order 6).} \end{aligned}$$

Up to conjugation, we may assume that S is generated by φ_0 . The point 1 is joined by blocks to the elements of the union $\mathcal{T} = \{\text{id}, \delta, \delta^2\} \cup \{\varphi_j \delta, -\varphi_j \delta^2 \mid j \in \{0, 1, 2\}\}$ of Sylow 3-subgroups (these are the blocks that also meet the block at infinity), and joined by the block S to the elements of $S = \{\text{id}, -\text{id}, \varphi_0, -\varphi_0\}$.

Without loss, we assume $\varphi_1 \in D$. Then the conditions $S \cap (D \setminus \{\varphi\})(-\varphi_1) \subseteq S \cap D^* = \emptyset$ and $S \cap (D \setminus \{\varphi\})(-\varphi_1) \subseteq S \cap D^* = \emptyset$ yield $D = \{\text{id}, \varphi_1, b, c\}$ with $\{b, c\} \subseteq \{-\delta, -\varphi_1 \delta, -\varphi_2 \delta, \varphi_2 \delta^2\}$. For $b = -\varphi_1 \delta$ we find $bc^{-1} \in S \cup S$ for each $c \in \{-\delta, -\varphi_2 \delta, \varphi_2 \delta^2\}$; i.e., for each remaining choice of c . Thus $-\varphi_1 \delta \notin D$. Analogously, the choice $b = -\varphi_2 \delta$ is excluded. The last remaining possibility is $D = \{\text{id}, \varphi_1, -\delta, -\varphi_2 \delta\}$. \square

3.4 Example. The isomorphisms $\text{SU}(2, 4) \cong \text{SL}(2, 4) \cong A_5$ allow to give an alternative description for the classical unital of order 4 which will come handy if we compare $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_4}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$. We use $\text{Syl}_2(A_5)$, the subgroup $S = \langle (01234) \rangle$ and $C = \{C_1, C_2\}$ with $C_1 := \{\text{id}, (032), (134), (02134), (03214)\}$ and $C_2 := C_1^{(1243)}$. Then $\mathbb{U}_C \cong \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_4}$ and $\mathbb{U}_C^b \cong \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_4}^b$.

3.5 Example. In $G = A_5$, we take $\text{Syl}_2(A_5)$, the group $S = \langle (01234) \rangle$ and the sets $E_1 := \{\text{id}, (023), (024), (123), (03421)\}$, $E_2 := E_1^{(1243)} = \{\text{id}, (023), (024), (123), (03421)\}$ as in Section 1. Then $\mathcal{E} := \{E_1, E_2\}$ together with the Sylow 2-subgroups of G and $S = \langle (01234) \rangle$ satisfies the conditions in 2.1, so $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b$ are unitals.

We show the table for \widehat{E}_1 on the left, on the right there is the table for \widehat{C}_1 (leading to the classical unital, see 3.4); the entry in the row starting with δ on the left and the column starting with γ on top is the quotient $\delta\gamma^{-1}$:

	(032)	(042)	(132)	(01243)
(023)		(234)	(013)	(04312)
(024)	(243)		(01324)	(04123)
(123)	(031)	(04231)		(01432)
(03421)	(02134)	(03214)	(02341)	

	(023)	(143)	(04312)	(04123)
(032)		(01432)	(01243)	(124)
(134)	(02341)		(042)	(04231)
(02134)	(03421)	(024)		(031)
(03214)	(142)	(01324)	(013)	

4 Automorphisms and Embeddings

Recall that an O’Nan configuration consists of four blocks such that any two of them meet. The hermitian unitals do not contain such configurations (cp. [8, 2.2]), so the following observation secures that the unitals $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b$ are not classical.

In $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ we have the O’Nan configuration

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \{\text{id}, (023), (024), (123), (03421)\}, \\ D_1(013) &= \{(013), (043), (04)(13), (01243), (03421)\}, \\ T &:= \{\text{id}, (01)(34), (03)(14), (04)(13)\}, \\ (123)T &= \{(123), (01243), (03412), (04)(12)\}; \end{aligned}$$

note that the blocks T and $(123)T = T^{(132)}(123)$ share the point $T \in [\infty]$ in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

In $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b$ we have the O’Nan configuration

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \{\text{id}, (023), (024), (123), (03421)\}, \\ D_1(013) &= \{(013), (043), (04)(13), (01243), (03421)\}, \\ T &:= \{\text{id}, (01)(34), (03)(14), (04)(13)\}, \\ T(024) &= \{(024), (01243), (03241), (13)(24)\}; \end{aligned}$$

now the blocks T and $T(024)$ share the point $T \in [\infty]$ in $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b$.

The following result on the full automorphism group gives an alternative argument to see that $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is not classical.

4.1 Theorem. *The unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is not classical, and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}) \cong C_4 \rtimes A_5$.*

Proof. Consider the stabilizer L of the block $[\infty]$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}})$. As the subgroup $A_5 \leq \text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}})$ is generated by the translations with centers on $[\infty]$, it is normal in L . Since A_5 acts transitively on the points not on $[\infty]$, the stabilizer L_{id} of the point id acts (via conjugation) faithfully by automorphisms of A_5 . This means that L_{id} is a subgroup of S_5 , acting by conjugation on A_5 , cf. [16].

The block S is the only block through id with non-trivial stabilizer in A_5 and not meeting $[\infty]$. Thus L_{id} normalizes S , and is a subgroup of $\langle (1243), (01234) \rangle$. Conjugation with (01234) does not leave $\{\widehat{D_0}, \widehat{D_1}\}$ invariant, but $\sigma = (1243)$ does.

Analogously, we find that the stabilizer of a block in the classical unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_4}$ is a semi-direct product $(C_4 \rtimes C_5) \rtimes A_5$. So the stabilizers of blocks are different, and $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}} \not\cong \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_4}$.

As the classical unitals are characterized by the fact that they have non-collinear translation centers (see [9]), the full group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ coincides with the block stabilizer L . \square

4.2 Corollary. *The unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is not isomorphic to a Buekenhout-Metz unital.*

Proof. Every Buekenhout-Metz unital of order q admits an automorphism group of order q^3 (see [7, Theorem 1] for the case of even q), but by 4.1 the order of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}})$ is not divisible by 4^3 . \square

We observe that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}})$ has index 5 in the stabilizer of the block $[\infty]$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_4})$. The latter group is isomorphic to $\text{PTU}(3, \mathbb{F}_4)$, and has order $2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13 = 249\,600$. The block stabilizer has order $2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 = 1\,200$.

We can repeat the arguments from the proof of 4.1 to conclude that the *normalizer* of A_5 in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b)$ is isomorphic to $C_4 \rtimes A_5$. From Grüning [10, 5.6] we know that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b)$ is isomorphic to a block stabilizer in the automorphism group of the classical unital. So $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{E}}^b) \cong C_4 \rtimes A_5$.

4.3 Lemma. *Let \mathbb{U} be a unital of order q embedded in a projective plane \mathbb{P} of order q^2 . If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ leaves \mathbb{U} invariant and induces a translation of the unital \mathbb{U} then φ is an elation of \mathbb{P} ; the center is the unique fixed point x in \mathbb{U} , and the axis is the tangent to \mathbb{U} at x in \mathbb{P} . \square*

Computer based results

Using our knowledge of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E})$, we notice that $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$ does not occur in the collection of unitals of order 4 presented in [15].

According to the results of a computer-based search by Bamberg, Betten, Praeger, and Wassermann (see [3]), there are just two orbits of unitals in $\text{PG}(2, 16)$, containing the hermitian unitals and Buekenhout-Metz unitals, respectively. Combining that result with 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain:

4.4 Theorem. *There are no embeddings of $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$ into the desarguesian plane of order 16.* \square

4.5 Theorem. *The unital $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$ has no embedding into any (dual) translation plane of order 16 such that every translation of the unital extends to a collineation of the plane.*

Proof. Assume that such an embedding exists. Then the translations generate a non-solvable group isomorphic to $A_5 \cong \text{SL}(2, 4)$.

The plane is not desarguesian by 4.4. Up to duality, it is then one of the planes listed in [12] (cf. also [6]). Inspection of the list yields that the involutions in that group (i.e., the collineations inducing the translations on the unital) are Baer involutions. This contradicts 4.3. \square

4.6 Remarks. Among the known projective planes of order 16 (as found on Gordon Royle's homepage, see <http://staffhome.ecm.uwa.edu.au/~00013890/>), the ones admitting groups with orders divisible by 5 are the desarguesian one, the Hall plane, the Dempwolff plane, a plane called BBH2 in the list, and the duals of the last three planes. Apart from BBH2, all these planes are translation planes (up to duality), and treated in 4.5. Peter Müller (Würzburg) has checked that the automorphism group of BBH2 is solvable, using the generators for this group from the homepage of Eric Moorhouse (see <http://www.uwyo.edu/moorhouse/pub/planes16/>) and the computer algebra system Sage.

Therefore, there do not exist any embeddings of $\mathbb{U}_\mathcal{E}$ into any of the known projective planes of order 16 such that the translations extend.

5 Unitals with just one translation center, or none at all

There is an ample supply of unitals having precisely one translation center. We give various examples, starting with unitals in planes over twisted fields:

5.1 Definitions. Let q be a power of an odd prime, and let d be a divisor of q such that -1 is not in $\{x^{d-1} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Then $\delta: x \mapsto x^d$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q ; the additive map $\delta + \text{id}: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q: x \mapsto x^d + x$ is injective, and thus also surjective.

The new multiplication $*$ defined¹ by $(a^d + a) * (b^d + b) := 2(a^d b + b^d a)$ yields a semifield $S_q^d := (\mathbb{F}_q, +, *)$. If $1 < d < q$ then S_q^d is not associative (cf. [5, 5.3.8]); but $S_q^1 = \mathbb{F}_q$. We will describe the affine translation plane as usual, using the sets $[m, t] := \{(x, m * x + t) \mid x \in S_q^d\}$ for the non-vertical lines².

¹ We have introduced the factor 2 in the definition in order to ensure that $1 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is still the neutral element of the multiplication $*$ — without that factor (such as in [1]) this neutral element would be $1 + 1$.

² We deviate from the description in [1], [2] here. This implies changes in the formulae for certain collineations.

For each $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ we find³ that $\gamma_v: (x^d + x, y) \mapsto ((vx)^d + vx, v^{d+1}y)$ is a collineation of the (affine) plane over S_q^d ; the line $[a^d + a, b]$ is mapped to the line $[(va)^d + (va), v^{d+1}b]$.

The projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{S_q^d}$ admits polarities: one of these is id , interchanging (x, y) with $[x, -y]$. The absolute points form an oval $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{U}(S_q^d, \text{id})$. If q is a square then there exists a (unique) involution $\kappa: x \mapsto \bar{x}$ in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since κ commutes with $\delta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we find that κ is also an automorphism of S_q^d , and obtain a polarity $\hat{\kappa}$ interchanging (x, y) with $[\bar{x}, -\bar{y}]$. The absolute points form a unital $\mathbb{U}(S_q^d)$.

If $q = r^2$ is a square then $\mathbb{P}_{S_q^d}$ contains another unital, with rather surprising properties (see [2]): One combines translates of the polar oval \mathcal{O} , forming the point set $E_t := \{\infty\} \cup \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_r} (\mathcal{O} + (0, st))$ for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ which is not a square. Then $\mathbb{E}_t := (E_t, \mathcal{B}_t)$ is a unital, where \mathcal{B}_t consists of the traces of secants of E_t in $\mathbb{P}_{S_q^d}$. The unital \mathbb{E}_t is invariant under the affine collineations of the form

$$\varphi_{u,s}: (S_q^d)^2 \rightarrow (S_q^d)^2: (x, y) \mapsto \left(x + u, y + u * x + \frac{1}{2}(u * u) + st\right)$$

with $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $s \in \mathbb{F}_r$. It is easy to see that $\{\varphi_{u,s} \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q, s \in \mathbb{F}_r\}$ is an elementary abelian group acting sharply transitive on $E_t \setminus \{\infty\}$. There are further collineations leaving \mathbb{E}_t invariant, namely the maps γ_v with $v \in \mathbb{F}_r^\times$.

5.2 Theorem. *The group $\Xi := \{\varphi_{0,s} \mid s \in \mathbb{F}_r\}$ consists of translations of the unital \mathbb{E}_t , and the group $\{\gamma_v \mid v \in \mathbb{F}_r^\times\}$ acts transitively on $\Xi \setminus \{\text{id}\}$. Thus Ξ is a transitive group of translations of \mathbb{E}_t . The center ∞ is fixed by all automorphisms of \mathbb{E}_t , and \mathbb{E}_t does not admit any translations apart from those in Ξ .*

Proof. The unital \mathbb{E}_t is not classical because the classical unitals do not admit any abelian groups transitive on the complement of a point. If some automorphism of \mathbb{E}_t would move ∞ then $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_t)$ would act two-transitively on \mathbb{E}_t , which is impossible on a non-classical unital by Kantor's result [13] (the Ree unitals also occurring in Kantor's list do not admit any translations at all, see [8, 1.8]). \square

5.3 Examples. We conjecture that many polar unitals in semifield planes (among them $\mathbb{U}(S_q^d)$ as in 5.1 and 5.2) are examples of unitals with precisely one translation center. In fact, each of these polar unitals has at least one translation center (namely, its point at infinity); it only remains to prove that the unital is not classical.

See [11] for an explicit example where the full group of the unital is determined.

5.4 Examples. If the polar unital in a Coulter–Matthews plane of order r^2 (cf. [14, Sect. 6]) is not classical then it has precisely one translation center. In fact, the centralizer of the polarity acts on the unital with three orbits (cf. [14, 5.6]), of lengths 1, r^2 , and $r^3 - r^2$, respectively. In particular, there is no invariant block, and the translation centers cannot form the point row of a block. Thus either every point is a translation center (and the unital is the classical one by [9]), or the fixed point of the centralizer of the polarity is the unique translation center. One knows that the unital is not classical in many cases, see [14, 6.8].

5.5 Example. For each prime power $q > 2$, Grüning [10] constructs a unital $U(q)$ of order q that is embedded both in the Hall plane of order q^2 and its dual. He also shows that every automorphism of that unital extends to an automorphism of the Hall plane.

³ See [1, Prop. 1]. Our description of the collineation differs from that in [1, Prop. 1] because we use a different description for the lines.

In particular, there is a block $[\infty]$ invariant under every automorphism of $U(q)$ (see [10, 5.5]), and $SL(2, q)$ acts faithfully on $U(q)$. The proof of [10, 5.6] actually shows that $U(q)$ is isomorphic to the unital $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^b$ constructed in 2.1, using the standard collection \mathcal{H}_q in $G = SU(2, q) \cong SL(2, q)$. One can use the action of the group $\text{Aut}(U(q))$ on the Hall plane (and 4.3) to see that it contains no translations of the unital, apart from the trivial one.

The phenomenon that G acts trivially on $[\infty]$ is due to the fact that the derivation set used to obtain the Hall plane from the desarguesian one is just our block $[\infty]$; the process of derivation then replaces a regulus with a transitive action of $SL(2, q)$ by the opposite regulus, where $SL(2, q)$ acts trivially. The confluent affine lines fixed by T are turned into Baer subplanes.

5.6 Example. Ree unitals do not admit any translations at all, see [8, 1.8].

References

- [1] V. Abatangelo, M. R. Enea, G. Korchmáros, and B. Larato, *Ovals and unitals in commutative twisted field planes*, Discrete Math. **208/209** (1999), 3–8, ISSN 0012-365X, doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(99)00055-2. MR 1725514 (2000h:51011). Zbl 0943.51007.
- [2] V. Abatangelo, G. Korchmáros, and B. Larato, *Transitive parabolic unitals in translation planes of odd order*, Discrete Math. **231** (2001), no. 1-3, 3–10, ISSN 0012-365X, doi:10.1016/S0012-365X(00)00301-0. MR 1821943 (2002c:51006). Zbl 0985.51008.
- [3] J. Bamberg, A. Betten, C. E. Praeger, and A. Wassermann, *Unitals in the Desarguesian projective plane of order 16*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference **144** (2014), 110–122, ISSN 0378-3758, doi:10.1016/j.jspi.2012.10.006. MR 3131366. Zbl 06247942.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, *Some unitals on 28 points and their embeddings in projective planes of order 9*, in *Geometries and groups (Berlin, 1981)*, Lecture Notes in Math. 893, pp. 183–188, Springer, Berlin, 1981. MR 655065 (83g:51010). Zbl 0557.51002.
- [5] P. Dembowski, *Finite geometries*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968. MR 0233275 (38 #1597). Zbl 0865.51004.
- [6] U. Dempwolff and A. Reifart, *The classification of the translation planes of order 16. I*, Geom. Dedicata **15** (1983), no. 2, 137–153, ISSN 0046-5755, doi:10.1007/BF00147760. MR 737954 (86d:51006). Zbl 0532.51003.
- [7] G. L. Ebert, *On Buekenhout-Metz unitals of even order*, European J. Combin. **13** (1992), no. 2, 109–117, ISSN 0195-6698, doi:10.1016/0195-6698(92)90042-X. MR 1158804 (93d:51023). Zbl 0758.51006.
- [8] T. Grundhöfer, B. Krinn, and M. J. Stroppel, *Non-existence of isomorphisms between certain unitals*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **60** (2011), no. 2, 197–201, ISSN 0925-1022, doi:10.1007/s10623-010-9428-2. MR 2795696. Zbl 05909195.
- [9] T. Grundhöfer, M. J. Stroppel, and H. Van Maldeghem, *Unitals admitting all translations*, J. Combin. Des. **21** (2013), no. 10, 419–431, ISSN 1063-8539, doi:10.1002/jcd.21329. MR 3090721. Zbl 1276.05021.

- [10] K. Grüning, *A class of unitals of order q which can be embedded in two different planes of order q^2* , J. Geom. **29** (1987), no. 1, 61–77, ISSN 0047-2468, doi:10.1007/BF01234988. MR 895943 (88j:51015). Zbl 0623.51002.
- [11] A. M. W. Hui and P. P. W. Wong, *On embedding a unitary block design as a polar unital and an intrinsic characterization of the classical unital*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **122** (2014), 39–52, ISSN 0097-3165, doi:10.1016/j.jcta.2013.09.007. MR 3127677.
- [12] N. L. Johnson, *The translation planes of order 16 that admit nonsolvable collineation groups*, Math. Z. **185** (1984), no. 3, 355–372, ISSN 0025-5874, doi:10.1007/BF01215046. MR 731683 (85j:51004).
- [13] W. M. Kantor, *Homogeneous designs and geometric lattices*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **38** (1985), no. 1, 66–74, ISSN 0097-3165, doi:10.1016/0097-3165(85)90022-6. MR 773556 (87c:51007). Zbl 0559.05015.
- [14] N. Knarr and M. J. Stroppel, *Polarities and unitals in the Coulter-Matthews planes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **55** (2010), no. 1, 9–18, ISSN 0925-1022, doi:10.1007/s10623-009-9326-7. MR 2593326. Zbl 1189.51008.
- [15] V. Krčadinac, A. Nakić, and M. O. Pavčević, *The Kramer-Mesner method with tactical decompositions: some new unitals on 65 points*, J. Combin. Des. **19** (2011), no. 4, 290–303, ISSN 1063-8539, doi:10.1002/jcd.20277. MR 2838908 (2012e:05049). Zbl 1225.05037.
- [16] H. Mäurer, *Die Automorphismengruppe des Durchschnittsgraphen der k -Teilmengen einer Menge*, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen **165** (1984), 69–76, ISSN 0373-8221. MR 745871 (85m:05040). Zbl 0538.05040.

Theo Grundhöfer
Institut für Mathematik
Universität Würzburg
Am Hubland
D-97074 Würzburg
Germany

Markus J. Stroppel
Fachbereich Mathematik
Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik
Universität Stuttgart
D-70550 Stuttgart
Germany

Hendrik Van Maldeghem
Vakgroep Zuivere Wiskunde en Computeralgebra
Universiteit Gent
Galglaan 2
B-9000 Gent
Belgium

Erschienene Preprints ab Nummer 2012-001

Komplette Liste: <http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints>

- 2015-017 *Grundhöfer, T.; Stroppel, M.J.; Van Maldeghem, H.:* A non-classical unital of order four with many translations
- 2015-016 *Hang, H.; Steinwart, I.:* A Bernstein-type Inequality for Some Mixing Processes and Dynamical Systems with an Application to Learning
- 2015-015 *Steinwart, I.:* Representation of Quasi-Monotone Functionals by Families of Separating Hyperplanes
- 2015-014 *Muhammad, F.; Steinwart, I.:* An SVM-like Approach for Expectile Regression
- 2015-013 *Nava-Yazdani, E.:* Splines and geometric mean for data in geodesic spaces
- 2015-012 *Kimmerle, W.; Köster, I.:* Sylow Numbers from Character Tables and Group Rings
- 2015-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* On the asymptotic normality of an estimate of a regression functional
- 2015-010 *Gorodski, C; Kollross, A.:* Some remarks on polar actions
- 2015-009 *Apprich, C.; Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.; Reif, U.:* Collocation with WEB-Splines
- 2015-008 *Kabil, B.; Rodrigues, M.:* Spectral Validation of the Whitham Equations for Periodic Waves of Lattice Dynamical Systems
- 2015-007 *Kollross, A.:* Hyperpolar actions on reducible symmetric spaces
- 2015-006 *Schmid, J.; Griesemer, M.:* Well-posedness of Non-autonomous Linear Evolution Equations in Uniformly Convex Spaces
- 2015-005 *Hinrichs, A.; Markhasin, L.; Oettershagen, J.; Ullrich, T.:* Optimal quasi-Monte Carlo rules on higher order digital nets for the numerical integration of multivariate periodic functions
- 2015-004 *Kutter, M.; Rohde, C.; Sändig, A.-M.:* Well-Posedness of a Two Scale Model for Liquid Phase Epitaxy with Elasticity
- 2015-003 *Rossi, E.; Schleper, V.:* Convergence of a numerical scheme for a mixed hyperbolic-parabolic system in two space dimensions
- 2015-002 *Döring, M.; Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Exact rate of convergence of kernel-based classification rule
- 2015-001 *Kohler, M.; Müller, F.; Walk, H.:* Estimation of a regression function corresponding to latent variables
- 2014-021 *Neusser, J.; Rohde, C.; Schleper, V.:* Relaxed Navier-Stokes-Korteweg Equations for Compressible Two-Phase Flow with Phase Transition
- 2014-020 *Kabil, B.; Rohde, C.:* Persistence of undercompressive phase boundaries for isothermal Euler equations including configurational forces and surface tension
- 2014-019 *Bilyk, D.; Markhasin, L.:* BMO and exponential Orlicz space estimates of the discrepancy function in arbitrary dimension
- 2014-018 *Schmid, J.:* Well-posedness of non-autonomous linear evolution equations for generators whose commutators are scalar
- 2014-017 *Margolis, L.:* A Sylow theorem for the integral group ring of $PSL(2, q)$
- 2014-016 *Rybak, I.; Magiera, J.; Helmig, R.; Rohde, C.:* Multirate time integration for coupled saturated/unsaturated porous medium and free flow systems
- 2014-015 *Gaspoz, F.D.; Heine, C.-J.; Siebert, K.G.:* Optimal Grading of the Newest Vertex Bisection and H^1 -Stability of the L_2 -Projection

- 2014-014 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:* Nonparametric recursive quantile estimation
- 2014-013 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Tent, R.; Walk, H.:* Nonparametric quantile estimation using importance sampling
- 2014-012 *Györfi, L.; Ottucsák, G.; Walk, H.:* The growth optimal investment strategy is secure, too.
- 2014-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strongly consistent detection for nonparametric hypotheses
- 2014-010 *Köster, I.:* Finite Groups with Sylow numbers $\{q^x, a, b\}$
- 2014-009 *Kahnert, D.:* Hausdorff Dimension of Rings
- 2014-008 *Steinwart, I.:* Measuring the Capacity of Sets of Functions in the Analysis of ERM
- 2014-007 *Steinwart, I.:* Convergence Types and Rates in Generic Karhunen-Loève Expansions with Applications to Sample Path Properties
- 2014-006 *Steinwart, I.; Pasin, C.; Williamson, R.; Zhang, S.:* Elicitation and Identification of Properties
- 2014-005 *Schmid, J.; Griesemer, M.:* Integration of Non-Autonomous Linear Evolution Equations
- 2014-004 *Markhasin, L.:* L_2 - and $S_{p,q}^r B$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets
- 2014-003 *Markhasin, L.:* Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness
- 2014-002 *Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.:* Optimal Learning Rates for Localized SVMs
- 2014-001 *Giesselmann, J.:* A relative entropy approach to convergence of a low order approximation to a nonlinear elasticity model with viscosity and capillarity
- 2013-016 *Steinwart, I.:* Fully Adaptive Density-Based Clustering
- 2013-015 *Steinwart, I.:* Some Remarks on the Statistical Analysis of SVMs and Related Methods
- 2013-014 *Rohde, C.; Zeiler, C.:* A Relaxation Riemann Solver for Compressible Two-Phase Flow with Phase Transition and Surface Tension
- 2013-013 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Generalized Killing spinors on Einstein manifolds
- 2013-012 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Generalized Killing Spinors on Spheres
- 2013-011 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* Convergence of Adaptive Finite Elements for Control Constrained Optimal Control Problems
- 2013-010 *Corli, A.; Rohde, C.; Schleper, V.:* Parabolic Approximations of Diffusive-Dispersive Equations
- 2013-009 *Nava-Yazdani, E.; Polthier, K.:* De Casteljau's Algorithm on Manifolds
- 2013-008 *Bächle, A.; Margolis, L.:* Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups
- 2013-007 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Heisenberg groups over composition algebras
- 2013-006 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Heisenberg groups, semifields, and translation planes
- 2013-005 *Eck, C.; Kutter, M.; Sändig, A.-M.; Rohde, C.:* A Two Scale Model for Liquid Phase Epitaxy with Elasticity: An Iterative Procedure
- 2013-004 *Griesemer, M.; Wellig, D.:* The Strong-Coupling Polaron in Electromagnetic Fields
- 2013-003 *Kabil, B.; Rohde, C.:* The Influence of Surface Tension and Configurational Forces on the Stability of Liquid-Vapor Interfaces
- 2013-002 *Devroye, L.; Ferrario, P.G.; Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strong universal consistent estimate of the minimum mean squared error
- 2013-001 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* A Posteriori Error Analysis of Optimal Control Problems with Control Constraints

- 2012-013 *Diaz Ramos, J.C.; Dominguez Vázquez, M.; Kollross, A.:* Polar actions on complex hyperbolic spaces
- 2012-012 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Weakly complex homogeneous spaces
- 2012-011 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Invariant four-forms and symmetric pairs
- 2012-010 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* The closure of the symplectic cone of elliptic surfaces
- 2012-009 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* Iterated fibre sums of algebraic Lefschetz fibrations
- 2012-008 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* The minimal genus problem for elliptic surfaces
- 2012-007 *Ferrario, P.:* Partitioning estimation of local variance based on nearest neighbors under censoring
- 2012-006 *Stroppel, M.:* Buttons, Holes and Loops of String: Lacing the Doily
- 2012-005 *Hantsch, F.:* Existence of Minimizers in Restricted Hartree-Fock Theory
- 2012-004 *Grundhöfer, T.; Stroppel, M.; Van Maldeghem, H.:* Unitals admitting all translations
- 2012-003 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* Representing homology classes by symplectic surfaces
- 2012-002 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* On certain exotic 4-manifolds of Akhmedov and Park
- 2012-001 *Jentsch, T.:* Parallel submanifolds of the real 2-Grassmannian