

**Universität
Stuttgart**

**Fachbereich
Mathematik**

A Short Note on the Comparison of Interpolation
Widths, Entropy Numbers, and Kolmogorov Widths

Ingo Steinwart

Preprint 2016/002

Fachbereich Mathematik
Fakultät Mathematik und Physik
Universität Stuttgart
Pfaffenwaldring 57
D-70 569 Stuttgart

E-Mail: preprints@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de
WWW: <http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints>

ISSN **1613-8309**

© Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Nachdruck nur mit Genehmigung des Autors.
L^AT_EX-Style: Winfried Geis, Thomas Merkle

A Short Note on the Comparison of Interpolation Widths, Entropy Numbers, and Kolmogorov Widths

Ingo Steinwart

Institute for Stochastics and Applications

Faculty 8: Mathematics and Physics

University of Stuttgart

D-70569 Stuttgart Germany

ingo.steinwart@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

June 23, 2016

Abstract

We compare the Kolmogorov and entropy numbers of compact operators mapping from a Hilbert space into a Banach space. We then apply these general findings to embeddings between reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and $L_\infty(\mu)$. Here we provide a sufficient condition for a gap of the order $n^{1/2}$ between the associated interpolation and Kolmogorov n -widths. Finally, we show that in the multi-dimensional Sobolev case, this gap actually occurs between the Kolmogorov and approximation widths.

Keywords: Interpolation widths, Kolmogorov widths, Entropy numbers, Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, Sobolev spaces

1 Introduction

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space and H be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) over X . Moreover, assume that the kernel k of H is measurable and that for all $p \in [2, \infty]$, the map $I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ defined by $I_{k,\mu}f := [f]_\sim$, where $[f]_\sim$ denotes the μ -equivalence class of f in $L_p(\mu)$, is compact. Now consider the linear interpolation n -width of H in $L_2(\mu)$, see e.g. [16, 15, 14] and the references mentioned therein, that is

$$I_n(H, L_p(\mu)) := \inf_{D \subset X, |D| \leq n} \left(\int_X \sup_{f \in B_H} |f(x) - A_D f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

with the usual modification for $p = \infty$. Here, $A_D : H \rightarrow H$ is the bounded linear operator defined by $A_D f(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^*(x) f(x_i)$, where $D = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\alpha^*(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the unique solution of

$$\alpha^*(x) = \arg \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\| \delta_x - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i} \right\|_{H'}^2.$$

For later use we note that we always have

$$\inf_{D \subset X, |D| \leq n} \sup_{f \in B_H} \|f - A_D f\|_{L_p(\mu)} \leq I_n(H, L_p(\mu)) \quad (1)$$

and equality holds in the extreme case $p = \infty$. Moreover, consider the classical Kolmogorov n -width

$$d_n(H, L_p(\mu)) = \inf_{F_n \subset L_p(\mu)} \sup_{f \in B_H} \inf_{g \in F_n} \|f - g\|_{L_p(\mu)},$$

where the left most infimum runs over all subspaces F_n of $L_p(\mu)$ with $\dim F_n \leq n$. Note that the lower bound of I_n in (1) measures, how well f can be approximated by a very particular linear and n -dimensional scheme, whereas d_n measures how well f can be approximated by the best n -dimensional scheme. Consequently, the approximation n -width

$$a_n(H, L_p(\mu)) := \inf_{A: H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)} \sup_{f \in B_H} \|f - Af\|_{L_p(\mu)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all bounded linear operators $A : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ with $\text{rank } A \leq n$, satisfies $d_n(H, L_p(\mu)) \leq a_n(H, L_p(\mu)) \leq I_n(H, L_p(\mu))$.

In the Hilbert space case, that is, $p = 2$, these quantities are well understood. Indeed, the general theory of s -numbers [12] shows, see e.g. Section 2, that

$$d_n(H, L_2(\mu)) = a_n(H, L_2(\mu)) = \sqrt{\lambda_{n+1}}, \quad (2)$$

where (λ_n) denotes the (extended and) ordered sequence of eigenvalues of the integral operator $T_k : L_2(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)$ associated with the kernel k . Moreover, if H is a Sobolev space, then $I_n(H, L_p(\mu))$ shares the asymptotic behavior of (2) and this can actually be achieved by taking quasi-uniform points $D \subset X$, see [16]. Unfortunately, the situation changes in the other extreme, namely $p = \infty$. Indeed, if μ is a finite measure, then (2) immediately yields

$$\sqrt{\lambda_{n+1}} \leq \sqrt{\mu(X)} d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)),$$

while [14, Theorem 3] shows that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i} \leq \sqrt{\mu(X)} I_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)), \quad (3)$$

and in the Sobolev case, this lower bound is matched by an upper bound of the same asymptotic behavior, see [15]. In the case of an algebraic decay of the eigenvalues, it is not hard to see that there is a gap of the order $n^{-1/2}$ between the *lower bounds* for $d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$ and $I_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$, and this naturally raises the question, whether this gap actually occurs between the quantities of interest, that is, between $d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$ and $I_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$. So far, a positive answer only exists for the 1-dimensional Sobolev case, see [13]. The goal of this note is to provide a positive answer in a more general framework. To be more precise, we show that for algebraically decaying eigenvalues we have $d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp \sqrt{\lambda_{n+1}}$ if and only if the entropy numbers of the embedding $I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ behave like $\sqrt{\lambda_{n+1}}$. Using (3) this characterization gives a sufficient condition for the existence of the gap. In addition, we present a result that highlights the role of the eigenfunctions of T_k . For the multi-dimensional Sobolev case we then show with the help of well-known asymptotics of the entropy and approximation numbers that the gap $n^{-1/2}$ actually occurs between $d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$ and $a_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$, that is, between arbitrary n -dimensional approximation and linear n -dimensional approximation. In addition, the cases $p \in (2, \infty)$ are treated simultaneously.

The rest of this note is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall the definition of entropy numbers and also introduce some examples of s -number scales. Section 3 summarizes the relationship between entropy numbers and the different s -number scales. In Section 4 two general results comparing entropy and Kolmogorov numbers of compact operators are presented and based upon these results the RKHS situation is investigated in more detail. In Section 5 we then apply these findings to the multi-dimensional Sobolev case.

2 Preliminaries: Entropy Numbers, s -Numbers, and Eigenvalues

We write $a_n \prec b_n$ for two positive sequences (a_n) and (b_n) if there exists a constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $a_n \leq cb_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Similarly, we write $a_n \asymp b_n$ if both $a_n \prec b_n$ and $b_n \prec a_n$. Finally, a positive sequence is called regular if there exists a constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $a_n \leq ca_{2n}$ and $a_m \leq ca_n$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n$. Probably the most interesting examples of regular sequences are $a_n = n^{-p}(1 + \ln n)^{-q}$ for $p > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, or $p = 0$ and $q > 0$.

Given a Banach space E , we denote its closed unit ball by B_E and its dual by E' . Moreover, we write $I_F : F \rightarrow \ell_\infty(B_{F'})$ for the canonical embedding and $Q_E : \ell_1(B_E) \rightarrow E$ for the canonical surjection. Furthermore, we write $E \hookrightarrow F$ if $E \subset F$ and the inclusion map is continuous. Finally, the adjoint of a bounded linear operator S acting between two Hilbert spaces is denoted by S^* .

Now, let E and F be Banach spaces and $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a bounded, linear operator. Then the n -th (*dyadic*) *entropy number* of T is defined by

$$e_n(T) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \exists x_1, \dots, x_{2^{n-1}} \in F : TB_E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} x_i + \varepsilon B_F \right\}.$$

Some elementary properties of entropy numbers can be found in [6, Chapter 1]. In particular, we have $e_n(T) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if T is compact. Since T is compact if and only if its dual T' is compact, this immediately raises the question how the entropy numbers of T and T' are related to each other. This question, known as the duality problem for entropy numbers has, so far, no complete answer. Partial answers, however, do exist. The one we will need is the following inequality taken from [3]

$$\frac{1}{d_p} \sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p} e_k(T) \leq \sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p} e_k(T') \leq d_p \sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p} e_k(T), \quad (4)$$

which holds for all $n \geq 1$ and all compact $T : E \rightarrow F$, whenever E or F are B -convex. Here, $d_p \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant, which depends on $p \in (0, \infty)$ and the geometry of the involved spaces E and F , but which is independent of both n and T . Moreover, recall from e.g. [7, Theorem 13.10] that a Banach space is B -convex if and only if it has non-trivial type. In particular, Hilbert spaces are B -convex, and so are the spaces $L_p(\mu)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$ since these spaces have type $\min\{2, p\}$, see e.g. [7, Chapter 11]. Moreover, if E or F is a Hilbert space, it was shown in [20] that we may choose $d_p = 32$ for all $p \in (0, \infty)$. Finally note that from the inequalities in (4) we can derive the following equivalences, which hold for all regular sequences (α_n) and all compact operators T :

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(T) \prec \alpha_n &\iff e_n(T') \prec \alpha_n \\ e_n(T) \asymp \alpha_n &\iff e_n(T') \asymp \alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

For a proof, which is based on a little trick originating from Carl [5], we refer to the proof of [18, Corollary 1.19] or, in a slightly simplified version, to the proof of [17, Proposition 2].

Besides entropy numbers, we are also interested in some so-called s -numbers. Namely, if $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a bounded linear operator, we are interested in the n -th *approximation number* of T , defined by

$$a_n(T) := \inf \{ \|T - A\| \mid A : E \rightarrow F \text{ bounded, linear with rank } A < n \},$$

in the n -th *Gelfand number* of T defined by

$$c_n(T) := \inf \{ \|TI_{E_0}^E\| : E_0 \text{ subspace of } E \text{ with } \text{codim } E_0 < n \},$$

where $I_{E_0}^E$ denotes the canonical inclusion of E_0 into E , and in the n -th *Kolmogorov number* of T defined by

$$d_n(T) := \inf \{ \|Q_{F_0}^F T\| : F_0 \text{ subspace of } F \text{ with } \dim F_0 < n \},$$

where $Q_{F_0}^F$ denotes the canonical surjection from the Banach space F onto the quotient space F/F_0 . Recall from [6, Proposition 2.2.2] that the latter quantity can also be expressed by

$$d_n(T) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \exists F_\varepsilon \text{ subspace of } F \text{ with } \dim F_\varepsilon < n \text{ and } TB_E \subset F_\varepsilon + \varepsilon B_F \right\},$$

and consequently, we have

$$d_{n+1}(T) = \inf_{F_n \subset F} \sup_{y \in TB_E} \inf_{z \in F_n} \|y - z\|_F,$$

where the left most infimum runs over all subspaces F_n of F with $\dim F_n \leq n$. In other words, $d_{n+1}(T)$ equals the classical Kolmogorov n -width of the set TB_E in F , cf. [9, Chapter 13], and therefore we have $d_{n+1}(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)) = d_n(H, L_p(\mu))$, where H and μ are as in the introduction. In addition, it is not hard to see that we also have $a_{n+1}(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)) = a_n(H, L_p(\mu))$, and consequently we will consider the operator versions in the remaining parts of this note. Furthermore, recall e.g. from [6, Theorems 2.3.1 and 2.2.1, and Proposition 2.5.5] that we always have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n(T) &= a_n(I_F T) \\ d_n(T) &= a_n(T Q_E) \\ d_n(T') &= c_n(T), \end{aligned}$$

and for compact T its dual operator T' additionally satisfies $c_n(T') = d_n(T)$, see [6, Proposition 2.5.6]. Moreover, the approximation, Gelfand, and Kolmogorov numbers are s -numbers in the sense of [12, Definition 2.2.1], and the same is true for the *Tikhomirov numbers* of T , which are defined by

$$t_n(T) := a_n(I_F T Q_E), \quad n \geq 1.$$

In addition, we always have

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(T) &\leq c_n(T) \leq a_n(T) \leq \sqrt{2n} c_n(T) \\ t_n(T) &\leq d_n(T) \leq a_n(T) \leq \sqrt{2n} d_n(T), \end{aligned}$$

where we note that in both cases the first two inequalities follow from s -number properties and the right most inequalities can be found in [6, Propositions 2.4.3 and 2.4.6]. In addition, the factor $\sqrt{2n}$ can be sharpened to $1 + \sqrt{n-1}$.

The two chains of inequalities above show that the gap between the asymptotic behavior of (a_n) , (c_n) and (d_n) is at most of the order \sqrt{n} . It is well-known that this gap is sometimes attained, see e.g. Section 5, while in other cases the gap vanishes. For example, we have

$$a_n(T) = c_n(T), \quad (5)$$

if E is a Hilbert space, see [6, Proposition 2.4.1], or F has the metric extension property, see [6, Proposition 2.3.3], and

$$a_n(T) = d_n(T), \quad (6)$$

if F is a Hilbert space, see [6, Proposition 2.4.4], or E has the metric lifting property, see [6, Proposition 2.2.3]. In this respect recall that the spaces $\ell_\infty(J)$ and $L_\infty(\mu)$, where μ is some finite measure, have the metric extension property, see [6, p. 60] and [7, Theorem 4.14], respectively. Moreover, the spaces $\ell_1(I)$ have the metric lifting property, see [6, p. 51]. By combining all these relations we further see that we have $t_n(T) = a_n(T)$ if either $E = \ell_1(I)$ and $F = \ell_1(J)$, or E and F are Hilbert spaces. The latter case also follows from a general result showing that there is only one s -scale for operators between Hilbert spaces, see e.g. [12, Theorem 2.11.9].

Our next goal is to relate the s -numbers introduced above to eigenvalues. To this end, let $S : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a compact operator acting between two Hilbert spaces. Then $S^*S : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ is compact, self-adjoint and positive, and therefore the classical spectral theorem shows that there is an at most countable family $(\lambda_i(S^*S))_{i \in I}$ of eigenvalues of S^*S , which in addition are non-negative and have at most one limit point, namely 0. In the following, we always assume that either $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ or $I = \mathbb{N}$, and that the eigenvalues are ordered decreasingly without excluding (geometric) multiplicities. Then, the *singular numbers* of S are defined by

$$s_i(S) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{\lambda_i(S^*S)} = \lambda_i(\sqrt{S^*S}) & \text{if } i \in I \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I. \end{cases}$$

Recall that this gives $s_i(S) = s_i(S^*)$ for all $i \geq 1$, and $s_i(T) = \lambda_i(T)$ for all $i \in I$ if $T : H \rightarrow H$ is compact, self-adjoint and positive. Moreover, we have, see e.g. [12, Chapter 2.11]

$$s_n(S) = a_n(S)$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all compact operators $S : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ between Hilbert spaces H_1, H_2 .

3 Carl's Inequality and some Inverse Versions

In this section we recall some inequalities between s -numbers and entropy numbers. We begin with Carl's inequality, which states that for all $p \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a constant $C_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all bounded, linear $T : E \rightarrow F$ and all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p} e_k(T) \leq C_p \sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p} a_k(T). \quad (7)$$

We refer to [6, Theorem 3.1.1], where it is also shown that a possible value for the constant is $C_P = 128(32 + 16/p)^{1/p}$. Recall from e.g. [6, Chapter 1.3] that entropy numbers are surjective and weakly injective, and therefore we have

$$e_k(T) \leq 2e_k(I_F T Q_E) \leq 2e_k(T)$$

for all bounded, linear $T : E \rightarrow F$ and all $k \geq 1$. In particular, we may replace the approximation numbers in (7) by the Gelfand, Kolmogorov, or Tikhomirov numbers for the price of an additional factor of 2 in the constant. Moreover, like for the entropy numbers of T and T' , we further have

$$a_n(T) \prec \alpha_n \quad \implies \quad e_n(T) \prec \alpha_n$$

for all regular sequences (α_n) and all bounded linear $T : E \rightarrow F$. It is needless to say that the approximation numbers in this implication may be replaced by the Gelfand, Kolmogorov, or Tikhomirov numbers.

Let us now recall some inequalities that describe how certain s -numbers are dominated by entropy numbers. We begin with compact operators $S : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ acting between two Hilbert spaces. Then [6, Inequality (3.0.9)] shows

$$a_n(S) \leq 2e_n(S) \quad (8)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. By an adaptation of the proof of [18, Corollary 1.19] we can then see that (8) in combination with (7) leads to the following equivalences, which hold for all regular sequences (α_n) and all compact operators $S : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ acting between two Hilbert spaces:

$$a_n(S) \prec \alpha_n \quad \iff \quad e_n(S) \prec \alpha_n \quad (9)$$

$$a_n(S) \asymp \alpha_n \quad \iff \quad e_n(S) \asymp \alpha_n. \quad (10)$$

Again, the approximation numbers in these equivalences may be replaced by the Gelfand, Kolmogorov, or Tikhomirov numbers. Finally, let us consider the compact, self-adjoint and positive operator $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ defined by S^*S . Then we have

$$s_i(T) = \lambda_i(T) = \lambda_i(S^*S) = s_i^2(S^*) \quad (11)$$

if $i \in I$ and $s_i(T) = 0 = s_i^2(S^*)$ if $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I$. The two equivalences above then lead to

$$s_n(T) \prec \alpha_n \iff e_n(S^*) \prec \sqrt{\alpha_n} \quad (12)$$

$$s_n(T) \succ \alpha_n \iff e_n(S^*) \succ \sqrt{\alpha_n} \quad (13)$$

for all regular sequences (α_n) . Note that s_n can be replaced by any s -number scale, and in particular by the approximation, Gelfand, Kolmogorov, and Tikhomirov numbers. Moreover, we may replace $e_n(S^*)$ by $e_n(S)$ using the duality results for entropy numbers mentioned above.

Let us now consider the situation in which only one of the involved spaces is a Hilbert space, that is, we consider compact operators of the form $S : E \rightarrow H$ or $S : H \rightarrow F$, where H is a Hilbert space and E or F is an arbitrary Banach space. Then (7) still holds, but in general, we may no longer have (8). To compare the s -numbers of T to the entropy numbers of T , we thus need a surrogate for (8). Fortunately, there are a few such results. For example, [11, Lemme 1] shows that there exist constants $A, B \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all compact $S : E \rightarrow H$ and all $n \geq 1$ we have

$$n^{1/2}c_n(S) \leq B \sum_{k > An} k^{-1/2}e_k(S). \quad (14)$$

With the help of this inequality it is easy to show that for all $p \in (0, 2)$ there exists another constant $B_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$n^{1/p}c_n(S) \leq B_p \sup_{k > An} k^{1/p}e_k(S) \quad (15)$$

for all compact $S : E \rightarrow H$ and all $n \geq 1$. We refer to the very short proof of [11, Théorème A]. Complementary, [18, Theorem 5.12] shows that for all $p \in (2, \infty)$ there exists a constant $K_p \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p}t_k(S) \leq K_p \sup_{k \leq n} k^{1/p}e_k(S). \quad (16)$$

for all compact operators $S : E \rightarrow H$ or $S : H \rightarrow F$ and all $n \geq 1$. Last but not least we like to mention that [5, Theorem 6] showed an inequality of the form (16) with t_k replaced by d_k or c_k for all $p \in (0, \infty)$ and all compact $S : E \rightarrow F$ for which E and F' are type 2 spaces.

4 Main Results

The goal of this section is to compare the entropy and Kolmogorov numbers of the embedding $I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$. To this end, our first auxiliary result combines Carl's inequality with its inversed versions mentioned in Section 3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a Hilbert space, F be a Banach space $S : H \rightarrow F$ be a compact operator, and $p \in (0, 2)$. Then, the following equivalence holds:*

$$d_n(S) \prec n^{-1/p} \iff e_n(S) \prec n^{-1/p}. \quad (17)$$

Moreover, if F has the metric extension property, the equivalence is also true for $p \in (2, \infty)$, and in addition, we have

$$d_n(S) \succ n^{-1/p} \iff e_n(S) \succ n^{-1/p}. \quad (18)$$

Finally, if F' has type 2, then (17) and (18) hold for all $p \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1: Independent of p and F , the implication “ \Rightarrow ” in (17) is a direct consequence of Carl’s inequality (7). For the proof of the converse implication we first consider the case $p \in (0, 2)$. By (4) we then know that $e_n(S') \prec n^{-1/p}$, and consequently (15) shows that $c_n(S') \prec n^{-1/p}$. Using $c_n(S') = d_n(S)$, which holds for compact operators S , we then obtain the assertion. In the case $p \in (2, \infty)$, we conclude by (16) that $t_n(S) \prec n^{-1/p}$. Moreover, F has the metric extension property, and therefore we have $c_n(SQ_E) = a_n(SQ_E)$ by (5). This leads to

$$t_n(S) = a_n(I_F SQ_E) = c_n(SQ_E) = a_n(SQ_E) = d_n(S),$$

and hence we find $d_n(S) \prec n^{-1/p}$. In addition, (18) follows from combining (7) and (16) as in the proof of [17, Proposition 2]. Finally, the last assertion can be shown analogously using [5, Theorem 6] instead of (16). \square

Note that the equivalences obtained in Lemma 4.1 also holds for regular sequences of the form $\alpha_n = n^{-1/p}(\log n)^\beta$, where p satisfies the constraints of Lemma 4.1 and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, for the second and third case this can be deduced from (7) and (16), respectively [5, Theorem 6], while in the first case this follows from (7), (15), and [12, G.3.2].

Clearly, Lemma 4.1 in particular holds for compact operators $S : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$. Our next result shows that for some spaces $L_p(\mu)$ even more information can be obtained.

Theorem 4.2. *Let H be a Hilbert space, μ be a finite measure, and $p \in [2, \infty]$. Assume that we have a compact operator $S : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ such that*

$$e_n(S : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha} \tag{19}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then, for all $q \in [2, p]$, the following equivalence hold:

$$d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha} \iff e_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2: “ \Rightarrow ”: By Lemma 4.1, or more precisely, Carl’s inequality, we already know that $e_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)) \prec n^{-1/\alpha}$. Moreover, using $L_q(\mu) \hookrightarrow L_2(\mu)$ we find

$$n^{-1/\alpha} \asymp e_n(S : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \leq \|\text{id} : L_q(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)\| e_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)),$$

and thus $e_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: By Lemma 4.1, we already know that $d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)) \prec n^{-1/\alpha}$. Moreover, by (19), (10), and (6) we obtain $d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$, and hence we find

$$n^{-1/\alpha} \asymp d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \leq \|\text{id} : L_q(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)\| d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)),$$

that is $d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_q(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$. \square

Note that the entropy numbers in condition (19) can be replaced by the Kolmogorov numbers. Indeed, (10) shows that (19) is equivalent to $a_n(S : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$, and since we further have $a_n(S) = d_n(S)$, we see that condition (19) can be replaced by

$$d_n(S : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}. \tag{20}$$

In addition, if H is an RKHS with kernel k and T_k denotes the integral operator associated with k , then (20), or (19), can be replaced by

$$\lambda_n(T_k : L_2(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-2/\alpha} \tag{21}$$

with the help of (11). The following corollary summarizes our findings in this situation in view of the gap discussed in the introduction.

Corollary 4.3. *Let H be an RKHS of a bounded measurable kernel k on (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ be a finite measure on the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} . If, in addition, we have*

$$e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, then we have $d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$ and $n^{-1/\alpha+1/2} \prec I_n(H, L_\infty(\mu))$.

Proof of Corollary 4.3: The behavior $d_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$ follows from Theorem 4.2. Moreover, we know $\lambda_i \asymp i^{-2/\alpha}$ by (11) and (13), and therefore, (3) shows

$$n^{-1/\alpha+1/2} \prec \sqrt{\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} i^{-2/\alpha}} \prec \sqrt{\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i} \leq \sqrt{\mu(X)} I_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)),$$

that is, we have shown the second assertion, too. \square

Our last result in this section shows that in the RKHS case and $q = \infty$ the asymptotic behavior $e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$ is inherited from certain interpolation spaces between H and $L_2(\mu)$. For its formulation we need the scale of interpolation spaces of the real method, see e.g. [2, Chapter 5], as well as the notation $[H]_\sim := \{[f]_\sim : f \in H\}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let H be an RKHS of a bounded measurable kernel k on (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ be a finite measure on the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} such that \mathcal{A} is μ -complete and that*

$$e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha} \quad (22)$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. In addition assume that the interpolation space $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2}$ is compactly embedded into $L_\infty(\mu)$ for some $\beta \in (\alpha/2, 1]$ with

$$e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \prec n^{-\beta/\alpha} \quad (23)$$

Then we have

$$e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-\gamma/\alpha} \quad (24)$$

for all $\gamma \in [\beta, 1]$, as well as $e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp n^{-1/\alpha}$.

Before we prove this theorem we note that the interpolation spaces $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2}$ can be identified as RKHSs provided that the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 are satisfied. For details we refer to the last part of the following proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.4: We first consider the case $\beta \in (\alpha/2, 1)$. Since $\gamma \geq \beta$, we then know $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2}$ by e.g. [19, Theorems 4.3 and 4.6], and consequently we have the following diagram of bounded linear embeddings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_\infty(\mu) \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2} & \end{array}$$

The multiplicativity of entropy numbers thus yields

$$\begin{aligned} & e_{2n}([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \\ & \leq e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2}) \cdot e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Now recall from [19, Equation (36) and Theorem 4.6] that

$$[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i \lambda_i^{\gamma/2} [e_i]_\sim : (a_i) \in \ell_2(I) \right\}, \quad (26)$$

where (λ_i) is the sequence of eigenvalues of the integral operator $T_k : L_2(\mu) \rightarrow L_2(\mu)$ and $([e_i]_\sim)$ is a corresponding ONS of eigenfunctions. Moreover, the system $(\lambda_i^{\gamma/2} [e_i]_\sim)$ is an ONB of $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2}$ with respect to an equivalent Hilbert space norm on $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2}$. Consequently, we have the following diagram for the embedding $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2} \\ \Phi_\gamma \downarrow & & \uparrow \Phi_\beta^{-1} \\ \ell_2(I) & \xrightarrow{D_\Lambda^{(\gamma-\beta)/2}} & \ell_2(I) \end{array}$$

where Φ_γ and Φ_β are the coordinate mappings and $D_\Lambda^{(\gamma-\beta)/2}$ is the diagonal operator associated to the sequence $(\lambda_i^{(\gamma-\beta)/2})$. By (22), (11), and (13) we conclude that $\lambda_i^{(\gamma-\beta)/2} \asymp i^{-(\gamma-\beta)/\alpha}$. Using [4, Proposition 2], which estimates entropy numbers of diagonal operators, and the diagram above, we thus find

$$e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow [L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2}) \prec n^{-(\gamma-\beta)/\alpha}.$$

Combining this with (25), (23), and the fact that μ is a finite measure we obtain

$$e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L_2(\mu)) \prec e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)) \prec n^{-\gamma/\alpha}.$$

To establish the lower bound, we recall from [19, Proposition 4.2 and Theorems 5.3 and 4.6] that, for a suitable μ -zero set N , $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2}$ can be identified with the RKHS over $X \setminus N$, whose kernel is given by

$$k_\mu^\gamma(x, x') := \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i^\gamma e_i(x) e_i(x'), \quad x, x' \in X \setminus N.$$

Since the eigenvalues of the corresponding integral operator are $\lambda_i^\gamma \asymp i^{-2\gamma/\alpha}$, we conclude from (11) and (13) that $e_n([L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\gamma,2} \hookrightarrow L_2(\mu)) \asymp n^{-\gamma/\alpha}$.

Finally, using $\text{ran } I_{k,\mu} = [H]_\sim$ and Theorem 4.2 the remaining assertions, namely the case $\beta = \gamma = 1$ as well as the assertion for $I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ can be proven analogously. \square

Theorem 4.4 essentially states that the property (24) is passed down from the large spaces in the scale of spaces $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{s,2}$ to the smaller ones. Moreover, using the spaces on the right hand side of (26) instead of the interpolation spaces, it can easily be seen that the result is also true for $\gamma > 1$. In addition, the representation (26) suggests that the eigenfunctions may play a crucial role in determining whether (24) holds. In this respect note that [10, Lemma 5.1] essentially showed the continuous embedding $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\alpha/2,1} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ provided that (22) holds and that the eigenfunctions are not only bounded but uniformly bounded. From this it is easy to conclude that $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ holds for all $\beta \in (\alpha/2, 1)$. In addition, the case $[L_2(\mu), [H]_\sim]_{\beta,2} \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ for $\beta \in (0, \alpha/2]$ can always be excluded, since [19, Theorem 5.3] shows that such an inclusion would imply $\sum_{i \geq 1} \lambda_i^\beta < \infty$ for the eigenvalues of the integral operator T_k , and this summability clearly contradicts (22) by (11) and (13). Summarizing, we think that understanding when the asymptotic equivalence (24) holds for some γ close to $\alpha/2$ is an interesting question for future research.

5 An Example: Sobolev Spaces

The goal of this section is to illustrate the consequences of Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 by applying them to embeddings of the form $\text{id} : H \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$, where H is a Sobolev space, $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a suitable subset, μ is the Lebesgue measure on X , and $p \in [2, \infty]$.

We begin by recalling some basics on Sobolev spaces. To this end let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a non-empty, open, and connected subset satisfying the strong local Lipschitz condition in the sense of [1, p. 83]. For $m \geq 1$ being an integer, we denote the classical Sobolev space on X that is defined by weak derivatives, see e.g. [1, p. 59-60], by $W^m(X) := W^{m,2}(X)$.

For $m > d/2$, it is well-known that the embedding $\text{id} : W^m(X) \rightarrow C_B(X)$ is compact, see e.g. [1, Theorem 6.3] in combination with [1, p. 84]. Therefore, the embeddings $\text{id} : W^m(X) \rightarrow L_\infty(X)$ are compact, and if X has finite Lebesgue measure, we also obtain the compactness of the embeddings $\text{id} : W^m(X) \rightarrow L_p(X)$, where we followed the standard notation $L_p(X) := L_p(\mu)$. Note that an immediate consequence of this is that the approximation and entropy numbers of these embeddings converge to zero. Let us recall some results from [8] that describe the asymptotic behavior of these numbers. To this end, note that a consequence of Stein's extension theorem, see [1, Theorem 5.24] is that

$$\|f\| := \inf \{ \|g\|_{W^m(\mathbb{R}^d)} : g \in W^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ with } g|_X = f \}, \quad (27)$$

where $f \in W^m(X)$, defines an equivalent norm on $W^m(X)$. Moreover, if for $s \in [0, \infty)$ and $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ we write $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for the Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces in the sense of [8, p. 24f], then we have $B_{2,2}^m(\mathbb{R}^d) = F_{2,2}^m(\mathbb{R}^d) = W^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by [8, p. 44 and p. 25]. By (27) we conclude that the spaces $B_{2,2}^m(X)$ defined by restrictions as in [8, p. 57] satisfy

$$B_{2,2}^m(X) = W^m(X) \quad (28)$$

up to equivalent norms. Moreover, [8, p. 25] shows that $F_{p,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) = L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and by [8, p. 44] we find continuous embeddings $B_{p,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow B_{p,p}^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $p \in [2, \infty)$. By (27) we conclude that

$$B_{p,2}^0(X) \hookrightarrow L_p(X) \hookrightarrow B_{p,p}^0(X). \quad (29)$$

Similarly, recall that we have continuous embeddings $B_{\infty,1}^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, see e.g. [8, p. 44], and thus we also have the continuous embeddings

$$B_{\infty,1}^0(X) \hookrightarrow L_\infty(X) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty,\infty}^0(X). \quad (30)$$

Let us now assume that X is open, connected, and bounded, and that it has a C^∞ -boundary. Moreover, we fix some $s_1, s_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (0, \infty]$ such that $s_1 - s_2 > d(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})_+$. Then [8, Theorem 2 on p. 118] shows that

$$e_n(\text{id} : B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(X) \rightarrow B_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2}(X)) \asymp n^{-(s_1-s_2)/d}, \quad (31)$$

and if we additionally assume that $2 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$, then [8, p. 119] shows that

$$a_n(\text{id} : B_{p_1,q_1}^{s_1}(X) \rightarrow B_{p_2,q_2}^{s_2}(X)) \asymp n^{-(s_1-s_2)/d+1/p_1-1/p_2}. \quad (32)$$

In particular, for $s_1 = s$, $p_1 = q_1 = 2$, $s_2 = 0$, $p_2 = p \in [2, \infty]$, and $q_2 = q \in [1, \infty]$ with $s > d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(\text{id} : B_{2,2}^s(X) \rightarrow B_{p,q}^0(X)) &\asymp n^{-s/d} \\ a_n(\text{id} : B_{2,2}^s(X) \rightarrow B_{p,q}^0(X)) &\asymp n^{-s/d+1/2-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By (28), (29), and (30) we conclude that

$$e_n(\text{id} : W^m(X) \rightarrow L_p(X)) \asymp n^{-m/d} \quad (33)$$

$$a_n(\text{id} : W^m(X) \rightarrow L_p(X)) \asymp n^{-m/d+1/2-1/p} \quad (34)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > d(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})$. In other words, the gap between the entropy and approximation numbers is of the order $n^{1/2-1/p}$. Note that for the Hilbert space case, i.e. $p = 2$, the gap vanishes as already observed in Section 3, while in the other extreme $p = \infty$, the gap is of the order $n^{1/2}$. Finally, we see by (27) that these asymptotics still hold, if we only assume that X is an open, connected, and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^d satisfying the strong local Lipschitz condition.

To illustrate these findings, we now consider the linear interpolation n -width mentioned in the introduction. To this end, we fix an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > d/2$ and let $H = W^m(X)$ with equivalent norms. Then (34) shows

$$n^{-m/d+1/2-1/p} \asymp a_{n+1}((\text{id} : H \rightarrow L_p(X)) \leq I_n(H, L_p(X))$$

for all $p \in [2, \infty]$. Here we note that in the case $p = \infty$, the lower bound $n^{-m/d+1/2} \prec I_n(H, L_\infty(X))$ already follows from (3). Moreover, (33) in combination with Theorem 4.2 yields

$$d_n(\text{id} : H \rightarrow L_p(X)) \asymp n^{-m/d} \quad (35)$$

for all $p \in [2, \infty]$. In other words, the gap of $1/2 - 1/p$ actually occurs between the non-linear approximation described by d_n and the linear approximation described by a_n . Moreover, the gap is maximal for $p = \infty$ and vanishes in the other extreme case $p = 2$.

We like to mention that (35) appears to be new, since it is not contained in the list of known asymptotics compiled in [21]. In addition, the gap between d_n and a_n is solely derived from the same gap between e_n and a_n , that is, from (33) and (34). In other words, we will observe a gap between d_n and a_n if and only if there is a gap between e_n and a_n . Fortunately, the latter two quantities have been considered for various other spaces H and measures μ , so that it should be possible to compile a list of cases, in which the gap occurs.

For convenience, the following corollary summarizes our findings for sufficiently large subspaces of $W^m(X)$. Together with Theorem 4.4 it particularly applies to kernels of many standard Gaussian processes, such as the iterated Brownian motion and -bridge, see e.g. the numerical example in [14].

Corollary 5.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open, connected, and bounded subset satisfying the strong Lipschitz condition. Moreover, let H be an RKHS over X with kernel k such that $H \hookrightarrow W^m(X)$ for some integer $m > d/2$. Assume, in addition, that*

$$e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_2(X)) \asymp n^{-m/d}$$

holds. Then we have

$$d_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)) \asymp n^{-m/d} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{-m/d+1/2} \prec I_n(H, L_\infty(\mu)).$$

In addition, if $H = W^m(X)$ with equivalent norms, then, for all $p \in [2, \infty]$, we have

$$d_n(H, L_p(\mu)) \asymp n^{-m/d} \quad \text{and} \quad n^{-m/d+1/2-1/p} \asymp a_n(H, L_p(\mu)).$$

Proof of Corollary 5.1: We first note that the sequence of estimates

$$n^{-m/d} \asymp e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_2(X)) \prec e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(X)) \prec e_n(I_{k,\mu} : W^m \rightarrow L_\infty(X)) \asymp n^{-m/d}$$

yields $e_n(I_{k,\mu} : H \rightarrow L_\infty(X)) \asymp n^{-m/d}$, and therefore Corollary 4.3 shows the first two assertions. The second set of asymptotic equivalences immediately follows from our findings in the text above together with the multiplicativity of the approximation numbers. \square

Acknowledgement. I deeply thank R. Schaback, for pointing me to the question regarding the gap between Kolmogorov and interpolation widths as well as for his feedback and his patience at the Shanghai airport. I also thank G. Santin for giving valuable hints.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley. *Interpolation of Operators*. Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [3] J. Bourgain, A. Pajor, S. J. Szarek, and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. On the duality problem for entropy numbers of operators. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1376, pages 50–63, 1989.
- [4] B. Carl. Entropy numbers of diagonal operators with an application to eigenvalue problems. *J. Approx. Theory*, 32:135–150, 1981.
- [5] B. Carl. Inequalities of Bernstein-Jackson-type and the degree of compactness of operators in Banach spaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 35:79–118, 1985.
- [6] B. Carl and I. Stephani. *Entropy, Compactness and the Approximation of Operators*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge. *Absolutely Summing Operators*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [8] D. E. Edmunds and H. Triebel. *Function Spaces, Entropy Numbers, Differential Operators*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [9] G. G. Lorentz, M. v. Golitschek, and Y. Makovoz. *Constructive Approximation. Advanced Problems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [10] S. Mendelson and J. Neeman. Regularization in kernel learning. *Ann. Statist.*, 38:526–565, 2010.
- [11] A. Pajor and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. Remarques sur les nombres d’entropie d’un opérateur et de son transposé. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, 301:743–746, 1985.
- [12] A. Pietsch. *Eigenvalues and s-Numbers*. Geest & Portig K.-G., Leipzig, 1987.
- [13] A. Pinkus. *n-Widths in Approximation Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [14] G. Santin and R. Schaback. Approximation of eigenfunctions in kernel-based spaces. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, pages 1–21, 2016.
- [15] R. Schaback and H. Wendland. Inverse and saturation theorems for radial basis function interpolation. *Math. Comp.*, 71:669–681, 2002.
- [16] R. Schaback and H. Wendland. Approximation by positive definite kernels. In M.D. Buhmann and D.H. Mache, editors, *Advanced Problems in Constructive Approximation: 3rd International Dortmund Meeting on Approximation Theory (IDoMAT) 2001*, pages 203–222. Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, 2003.
- [17] I. Steinwart. Entropy of $C(K)$ -valued operators. *J. Approx. Theory*, 103:302–328, 2000.
- [18] I. Steinwart. *Entropy of $C(K)$ -valued operators and some applications*. PhD thesis, Friedrich-Schiller Universität Jena, Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, 2000.
- [19] I. Steinwart and C. Scovel. Mercer’s theorem on general domains: on the interaction between measures, kernels, and RKHSs. *Constr. Approx.*, 35:363–417, 2012.
- [20] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann. Dualité des nombres d’entropie pour des opérateurs à valeurs dans un espace de Hilbert. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, 305:299–301, 1987.
- [21] J. Vybíral. Widths of embeddings in function spaces. *J. Complexity*, 24:545–570, 2008.

Ingo Steinwart

Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 57, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

E-Mail: steinwart@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

WWW: <http://www.isa.uni-stuttgart.de/Steinwart/>

Erschienenene Preprints ab Nummer 2012-001

Komplette Liste: <http://www.mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de/preprints>

- 2016-002 *Steinwart, I.:* A Short Note on the Comparison of Interpolation Widths, Entropy Numbers, and Kolmogorov Widths
- 2016-001 *Köster, I.:* Sylow Numbers in Spectral Tables
- 2015-016 *Hang, H.; Steinwart, I.:* A Bernstein-type Inequality for Some Mixing Processes and Dynamical Systems with an Application to Learning
- 2015-015 *Steinwart, I.:* Representation of Quasi-Monotone Functionals by Families of Separating Hyperplanes
- 2015-014 *Muhammad, F.; Steinwart, I.:* An SVM-like Approach for Expectile Regression
- 2015-013 *Nava-Yazdani, E.:* Splines and geometric mean for data in geodesic spaces
- 2015-012 *Kimmerle, W.; Köster, I.:* Sylow Numbers from Character Tables and Group Rings
- 2015-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* On the asymptotic normality of an estimate of a regression functional
- 2015-010 *Gorodski, C.; Kollross, A.:* Some remarks on polar actions
- 2015-009 *Apprich, C.; Höllig, K.; Hörner, J.; Reif, U.:* Collocation with WEB-Splines
- 2015-008 *Kabil, B.; Rodrigues, M.:* Spectral Validation of the Whitham Equations for Periodic Waves of Lattice Dynamical Systems
- 2015-007 *Kollross, A.:* Hyperpolar actions on reducible symmetric spaces
- 2015-006 *Schmid, J.; Griesemer, M.:* Well-posedness of Non-autonomous Linear Evolution Equations in Uniformly Convex Spaces
- 2015-005 *Hinrichs, A.; Markhasin, L.; Oettershagen, J.; Ullrich, T.:* Optimal quasi-Monte Carlo rules on higher order digital nets for the numerical integration of multivariate periodic functions
- 2015-004 *Kutter, M.; Rohde, C.; Sändig, A.-M.:* Well-Posedness of a Two Scale Model for Liquid Phase Epitaxy with Elasticity
- 2015-003 *Rossi, E.; Schleper, V.:* Convergence of a numerical scheme for a mixed hyperbolic-parabolic system in two space dimensions
- 2015-002 *Döring, M.; Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Exact rate of convergence of kernel-based classification rule
- 2015-001 *Kohler, M.; Müller, F.; Walk, H.:* Estimation of a regression function corresponding to latent variables
- 2014-021 *Neusser, J.; Rohde, C.; Schleper, V.:* Relaxed Navier-Stokes-Korteweg Equations for Compressible Two-Phase Flow with Phase Transition
- 2014-020 *Kabil, B.; Rohde, C.:* Persistence of undercompressive phase boundaries for isothermal Euler equations including configurational forces and surface tension
- 2014-019 *Bilyk, D.; Markhasin, L.:* BMO and exponential Orlicz space estimates of the discrepancy function in arbitrary dimension
- 2014-018 *Schmid, J.:* Well-posedness of non-autonomous linear evolution equations for generators whose commutators are scalar
- 2014-017 *Margolis, L.:* A Sylow theorem for the integral group ring of $PSL(2, q)$
- 2014-016 *Rybak, I.; Magiera, J.; Helmig, R.; Rohde, C.:* Multirate time integration for coupled saturated/unsaturated porous medium and free flow systems
- 2014-015 *Gaspoz, F.D.; Heine, C.-J.; Siebert, K.G.:* Optimal Grading of the Newest Vertex Bisection and H^1 -Stability of the L_2 -Projection

- 2014-014 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Walk, H.:* Nonparametric recursive quantile estimation
- 2014-013 *Kohler, M.; Krzyżak, A.; Tent, R.; Walk, H.:* Nonparametric quantile estimation using importance sampling
- 2014-012 *Györfi, L.; Ottucsák, G.; Walk, H.:* The growth optimal investment strategy is secure, too.
- 2014-011 *Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strongly consistent detection for nonparametric hypotheses
- 2014-010 *Köster, I.:* Finite Groups with Sylow numbers $\{q^x, a, b\}$
- 2014-009 *Kahnert, D.:* Hausdorff Dimension of Rings
- 2014-008 *Steinwart, I.:* Measuring the Capacity of Sets of Functions in the Analysis of ERM
- 2014-007 *Steinwart, I.:* Convergence Types and Rates in Generic Karhunen-Loève Expansions with Applications to Sample Path Properties
- 2014-006 *Steinwart, I.; Pasin, C.; Williamson, R.; Zhang, S.:* Elicitation and Identification of Properties
- 2014-005 *Schmid, J.; Griesemer, M.:* Integration of Non-Autonomous Linear Evolution Equations
- 2014-004 *Markhasin, L.:* L_2 - and $S_{p,q}^r$ -discrepancy of (order 2) digital nets
- 2014-003 *Markhasin, L.:* Discrepancy and integration in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness
- 2014-002 *Eberts, M.; Steinwart, I.:* Optimal Learning Rates for Localized SVMs
- 2014-001 *Giesselmann, J.:* A relative entropy approach to convergence of a low order approximation to a nonlinear elasticity model with viscosity and capillarity
- 2013-016 *Steinwart, I.:* Fully Adaptive Density-Based Clustering
- 2013-015 *Steinwart, I.:* Some Remarks on the Statistical Analysis of SVMs and Related Methods
- 2013-014 *Rohde, C.; Zeiler, C.:* A Relaxation Riemann Solver for Compressible Two-Phase Flow with Phase Transition and Surface Tension
- 2013-013 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Generalized Killing spinors on Einstein manifolds
- 2013-012 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Generalized Killing Spinors on Spheres
- 2013-011 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* Convergence of Adaptive Finite Elements for Control Constrained Optimal Control Problems
- 2013-010 *Corli, A.; Rohde, C.; Schleper, V.:* Parabolic Approximations of Diffusive-Dispersive Equations
- 2013-009 *Nava-Yazdani, E.; Polthier, K.:* De Casteljau's Algorithm on Manifolds
- 2013-008 *Bächle, A.; Margolis, L.:* Rational conjugacy of torsion units in integral group rings of non-solvable groups
- 2013-007 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Heisenberg groups over composition algebras
- 2013-006 *Knarr, N.; Stroppel, M.J.:* Heisenberg groups, semifields, and translation planes
- 2013-005 *Eck, C.; Kutter, M.; Sändig, A.-M.; Rohde, C.:* A Two Scale Model for Liquid Phase Epitaxy with Elasticity: An Iterative Procedure
- 2013-004 *Griesemer, M.; Wellig, D.:* The Strong-Coupling Polaron in Electromagnetic Fields
- 2013-003 *Kabil, B.; Rohde, C.:* The Influence of Surface Tension and Configurational Forces on the Stability of Liquid-Vapor Interfaces
- 2013-002 *Devroye, L.; Ferrario, P.G.; Györfi, L.; Walk, H.:* Strong universal consistent estimate of the minimum mean squared error

- 2013-001 *Kohls, K.; Rösch, A.; Siebert, K.G.:* A Posteriori Error Analysis of Optimal Control Problems with Control Constraints
- 2012-013 *Diaz Ramos, J.C.; Dominguez Vázquez, M.; Kollross, A.:* Polar actions on complex hyperbolic spaces
- 2012-012 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Weakly complex homogeneous spaces
- 2012-011 *Moroianu, A.; Semmelmann, U.:* Invariant four-forms and symmetric pairs
- 2012-010 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* The closure of the symplectic cone of elliptic surfaces
- 2012-009 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* Iterated fibre sums of algebraic Lefschetz fibrations
- 2012-008 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* The minimal genus problem for elliptic surfaces
- 2012-007 *Ferrario, P.:* Partitioning estimation of local variance based on nearest neighbors under censoring
- 2012-006 *Stroppel, M.:* Buttons, Holes and Loops of String: Lacing the Doily
- 2012-005 *Hantsch, F.:* Existence of Minimizers in Restricted Hartree-Fock Theory
- 2012-004 *Grundhöfer, T.; Stroppel, M.; Van Maldeghem, H.:* Unitals admitting all translations
- 2012-003 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* Representing homology classes by symplectic surfaces
- 2012-002 *Hamilton, M.J.D.:* On certain exotic 4-manifolds of Akhmedov and Park
- 2012-001 *Jentsch, T.:* Parallel submanifolds of the real 2-Grassmannian